THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

JESUS RINCON-MEZA,

v.

Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CASE NO. CR19-0062-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's second motion to dismiss the indictment (Dkt. No. 50). On March 28, 2019, Defendant was indicted for: one count of possession of explosive material by an unlawful alien in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 842(i)(5), and one count of possession of firearms by an unlawful alien in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(5). (Dkt. No. 20.) On June 21, 2019, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in *Rehaif v. United States*, 139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019). In *Rehaif*, the Supreme Court held that in a prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g), the Government must prove that the defendant knowingly violated the material elements of the statute, including both his possession of a firearm and his status that renders such possession illegal. *See* 139 S. Ct. at 2195–96. The Supreme Court did not address prosecutions under 18 U.S.C. § 842(i)(5). *See id.* at 2194–97.

On July 17, 2019, Defendant moved to dismiss the indictment. (Dkt. No. 50.) Defendant argued that the indictment failed to allege the essential elements of the charged offenses in light

ORDER CR19-0062-JCC PAGE - 1

of *Rehaif*. (*See id.* at 1–2.) On July 31, 2019, the Government filed a superseding indictment that purportedly complies with *Rehaif* by alleging Defendant's knowledge of his unlawful status and his possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(5). (*See* Dkt. No. 52 at 2.) The superseding indictment's allegations as to 18 U.S.C. § 842(i)(5) mirrors those of the original indictment, as *Rehaif* did not address prosecutions under that statute. (*Compare id.* at 1, *with* Dkt. No. 20 at 1); *see Rehaif*, 139 S. Ct. at 2194–97. In light of the superseding indictment that complies with the requirements of *Rehaif*, Defendant's motion to dismiss the original indictment on that basis (Dkt. No. 50) is DENIED.

DATED this 6th day of August 2019.

John C. Coughenour

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE